THE OREGON PLAN for Salmon and Watersheds





Abundance Monitoring of Juvenile Salmonids In Oregon Coastal Streams, 2005.

Report Number: OPSW-ODFW-2007-1



Abundance Monitoring of Juvenile Salmonids in Oregon Coastal Streams, 2005

Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds

Monitoring Report No. OPSW-ODFW-2007-1

January, 2007

David B. Jepsen
Kevin Leader
Western Oregon Rearing Project
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
28655 Highway 34
Corvallis, OR 97333

Citation: Jepsen, D. B., and K. Leader. 2007. Abundance Monitoring of Juvenile Salmonids in Oregon Coastal Streams, 2005. Monitoring Program Report Number OPSW-ODFW-2007-1, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Salem.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	i
List of Tables	
List of Appendices	iv
Executive Summary	
Introduction	1
Methods	1
Results and Discussion	3
Site Visitation	3
Juvenile Salmonid Frequency of Occurrence	6
Juvenile Salmonid Density	11
Juvenile Coho Population Trend and Comparison to Adult Abundance	16
References	18
Appendix	19

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1. Location of five Monitoring Areas for coho salmon and steelhead along the Oregon Coast	2
Figure 2. The relationship between original snorkel counts of the number of juvenile salmonids in pools and resurvey of the same pools, subdivided by monitoring area in 2005. Symbols are individual sites, and total linear regression line and model results are for all sites combined	5
Figure 3. Percent occupancy in pools by juvenile coho in 1 st -3 rd order stream reaches of the North Coast, Mid Coast, and Mid-South Coast monitoring areas summer 2005. See Appendix 1 for additional site data	
Figure 4. Percent occupancy in pools by juvenile coho in 1 st -3 rd order stream reaches of the Umpqua and South Coast monitoring areas, summer 2005. See Appendix 1 for additional site data	10
Figure 5. Mean density (and standard deviation) in pools of juvenile coho at 1 st -3 rd order stream reaches in North Coast, Mid Coast, and Mid-Souh Coast monitoring areas, summer of 2005. The reference line at 0.7 coho/m ² represents a baseline for full seeding level of juvenile coho in Oregon coastal streams. See Appendix 1 for additional site data.	14
Figure 6. Mean density (and standard deviation) in pools of juvenile coho at 1 st -3 rd order stream reaches in the Umpqua and South Coast monitoring areas, summer of 2005. The reference line at 0.7 coho/m² represents a baseline for full seeding level of juvenile coho in Oregon coastal streams. See Appendix 1 for additional site data.	15
Figure 7. The relationship between the average number of juvenile coho/m² in 1 st -3 rd order stream reaches and the average adults/mile that produced them, for each of the five Monitoring Areas on the Oregon Coast, 1998-2005. Brood year refers to the year adult survey data were collected. Fitted lines are from the linear regression model with results displayed in each panel. A logistic model was also fit to the North and Mid Coast MA's, with results in the respective panels.	17
·	

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1. Summary of juvenile salmonid datasets used in 2005 to test differences in average percent pool occupancy and average fish density. Datasets with common letters were compared. NC= North Coast, MC=Mid Coast, MS=Mid-South Coast, UMP=Umpqua, and SC-NR=South Coast Non-Rogue. Steelhead and cutthroat subsets in the South Coast were based on known steelhead distribution in those streams, and were divided into ¹ non-Rogue Basin sites and ² Rogue Basin sites. ³ See Methods for description of South Coast coho dataset for 4 th -5 th order streams.	3
Table 2. Summary of site visits of randomly selected sites in 2005 for juvenile salmonid surveys in Oregon coastal Monitoring Areas. Refer to Table 1 and Methods section for explanation of superscripts at South Coast sites. Note that data for 4 th -5 th order sites for South Coast coho are not listed but are the total of the Non-Rogue and Rogue steelhead sites	4
Table 3. The occurrence of juvenile salmonids observed by snorkeling or electrofishing in Oregon coastal streams in 2005. sthd=steelhead, cutt=cutthroat. Refer to Table 1 and Methods section for explanation of superscripts at South Coast sites. Cells with no data at South Coast sites are from spatially unbalanced site selection.	7
Table 4. <i>P</i> -values for tests of significance (Z statistic) for comparisons of the mean percent pool occupancy by juvenile salmonids for coastal Monitoring Areas sampled in 2005. Significant differences are bolded. Refer to Table 1 for key to header abbreviations and explanation of superscripts at South Coast sites	8
Table 5. Differences in the mean percent pool occupancy for juvenile coho in 1 st - 3 rd order streams sampled in each coastal Monitoring Area for the brood cycle 2002-2005.	8
Table 6. Density (fish/m²) of juvenile fish in pools from snorkeler counts within coastal Monitoring Areas in 2005. sthd=steelhead, cutt=cutthroat. Refer to Table 1 and Methods section for explanation of superscripts at South Coast sites. Cells with no data at South Coast sites are from spatially unbalanced site selection.	12
Table 7. <i>P</i> -values for tests of significance (Z statistic) for comparisons of the mean density of juvenile salmonids in pools for coastal Monitoring Areas sampled in 2005. Significant differences are bolded. Refer to Table 1 for key to header abbreviations and explanation of superscripts at South Coast sites	13
Table 8. Differences in mean density in pools for juvenile coho in 1 st - 3 rd order streams sampled in each coastal Monitoring Area for the brood cycle 2002-2005.	

List of Appendices

••	Page
Appendix 1. Location, sample sizes, average density, and percentage of pools containing juvenile salmonids at coastal Monitoring Area sites sampled in 2005. Bolded sites are 4 th -5 th order streams. Abbreviations for monitoring areas are: NC= North Coast, MC= Mid Coast, MS=Mid-South Coast, UMP=Umpqua, and SC=South Coast. South Coast sub-areas include 1 st -3 rd order streams within coho distribution (coho), and steelhead streams found in the Rogue basin (R sthd) and non-Rogue basins (NR sthd). Abbreviations for fish species are: Sthd= Steelhead, and Cutt=Cutthroat	19

Executive Summary

This report summarizes results of sampling the abundance and distribution of juvenile salmonids in coastal streams in western Oregon monitoring areas (MA's) in 2005, as part of the Western Oregon Rearing Project.

Coho

- In comparisons of the brood cycle years 2002 and 2005, there were no differences in average density of coho in pools or average % pool occupancy for any MA.
- Coho occurred in 73-94% of the 1st-3rd order stream sites, were more widespread among Mid-South and Mid Coast sites, and as in previous years least widespread among Umpqua sites. The average percent pool occupancy ranged between 63% (Umpqua and North Coast) to 75% (South Coast). All MA's had more than 50% of sites with > 50% pool occupancy.
- Average pool densities for coho in 1st-3rd order stream sites were between 0.23 fish/m² (Mid Coast) and 0.83 fish/m² (South Coast). The three most southern monitoring areas had significantly higher densities than the other MA's in 1st-3rd order stream sites.
- The percentage of 1st-3rd order stream sites with juvenile coho densities ≥0.7 fish/m² (target seeding level) were greater in the three southern MA's (>32%) than in the two northern MA's
- The Umpqua and South Coast MA's produce fewer adults per mile than other MA's, but regression analysis suggests that egg to parr survival is greater in the South Coast relative to other MA's. Data in 2005 continue to support the pattern that juvenile coho in North and Mid Coast sites may experience density dependant effects during the freshwater rearing phase.

Steelhead

- Steelhead occurred in 66-78% of the 1st-3rd order sites (excluding South Coast sites). For South Coast sites selected from steelhead distributions, steelhead were present in 94% and 89% of sites in the non-Rogue and Rogue basins, respectively. The average percent pool occupancy ranged from 30% (Umpqua) to 46% (North Coast) for 1st-3rd order stream sites. Non-Rogue and Rogue basin1st-3rd order stream sites had significantly greater pool occupancy than comparable streams in other MA's.
- Average pool densities of steelhead in 1st-3rd order streams were between 0.04 fish/m² (Umpqua and Mid Coast) and 0.09 fish/m² (South Coast Rogue basin).
 South Coast Rogue basin sites had statistically higher steelhead densities than other MA's except the North Coast.

Introduction

As part of the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds, the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) initiated a project in 1998 to monitor juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) rearing in Oregon coastal streams. Monitoring was expanded in 2002 to include juvenile steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and cutthroat trout (*O. clarkii*). The project is designed to monitor the yearly status and long term trends in juvenile salmonid abundance within five coastal Monitoring Areas (hereafter referred to as MA's; Figure 1). This report summarizes abundance data collected in the summer of 2005 for all three species, and for coho includes an analysis with abundance data from prior years. Details of the study area and methods are described in previous annual reports (Jepsen and Rodgers 2004). Details of methods and analyses specific to the present year are included below.

Methods

A full description of study design, random site selection, and survey methods is found in Jepsen and Rodgers (2004). Snorkel surveys were conducted at randomly chosen candidate sites that were spatially balanced within two separate sampling frames (rearing distributions; but see South Coast steelhead, below). One frame included sites of all 1st-3rd order stream reaches within the known coastal coho rearing distribution. The other included sites from all 4th-5th order stream reaches within the known steelhead rearing distribution. For summary and comparative analyses, data for each species were split into subsets based on the MA and stream order frame (Table 1). This level of partitioning allowed separate fish abundance estimates for smaller streams (the presumed best rearing reaches for coho) and larger order streams (the presumed best rearing reaches for steelhead).

As noted in previous reports (Jepsen and Rodgers 2004), there is a more distinct rearing distribution for coho and trout in the South Coast MA relative to the other MA's, requiring an adjustment to the spatial design of site selection. The South Coast coho 1st-3rd order dataset was balanced in the same manner as the sites in other MA's, but the sites used for a larger stream order coho dataset were derived from a stream network based on a steelhead distribution sampling frame, and proportioned between Rogue and Non-Rogue basin sites. From the steelhead frame, steelhead and cutthroat trout subsets were created for 1st-3rd and 4th-5th order stream reaches, and then partitioned proportionately within Rogue and Non-Rogue basins. Although steelhead and cutthroat data were collected from South Coast coho 1st-3rd order sites, the sites are considered spatially unbalanced for steelhead distributions (and unknown for cutthroat). Similarly, coho data were collected from South Coast steelhead 1st-3rd order sites are considered spatially unbalanced for coho. These datasets are indicated by italics in summary tables but not used in comparative analyses.

The accuracy of pool counts was assessed by doing resurveys of 15-20% of sites, limited to those that contained juvenile coho. A resurvey generally occurred within 1-4 days of the original survey, and fish were counted from the same pools identified in the original survey.




Figure 1. Location of five Monitoring Areas for coho salmon and steelhead along the Oregon Coast.

Table 1. Summary of juvenile salmonid datasets used in 2005 to test differences in average percent pool occupancy and average fish density. Datasets with common letters were compared. NC= North Coast, MC=Mid Coast, MS=Mid-South Coast, UMP=Umpqua, and SC-NR=South Coast Non-Rogue. Steelhead and cutthroat subsets in the South Coast were based on known steelhead distribution in those streams, and were divided into ¹non-Rogue Basin sites and ²Rogue Basin sites. ³See Methods for description of South Coast coho dataset for 4th-5th order streams.

	1 st -	3 rd order	coho s	tream fra	ame	4 th -5	th order s	steelhead	stream	frame
Monitoring Area	NC	MC	MS	UMP	SC-NR ¹	NC	MC	MS	UMP	SC-NR ¹
Coho										
Mid Coast	а					Х				
Mid-South Coast	а	а				Х	Х			
Umpqua	а	а	а			Х	X	Х		
South Coast ³	а	а	а	а		Х	X	Х	Х	
Steelhead										
Mid Coast	b					у				
Mid-South Coast	b	b				у	у			
Umpqua	b	b	b			у	у	У		
South Coast ¹	b	b	b	b		у	у	У	у	
South Coast ²	b	b	b	b	b	У	у	У	У	у
Cutthroat										
Mid Coast	С					Z				
Mid-South Coast	С	С				Z	Z			
Umpqua	С	С	С			Z	Z	Z		
South Coast ¹	С	С	С	С		Z	Z	Z	Z	
South Coast ²	С	С	С	С	С	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z

Results and Discussion

In previous annual reports we included abundance data for individual sites, plotted for each species and stream order group (1st-3rd order and 4th-5th order). In this report we provide only MA-level summaries, but include Appendix 1 as a summary table for site data. Outputs from GIS referenced maps that spatially summarize fish abundance at individual sites are available by request from the Western Oregon Rearing Project.

Site Visitation

A summary table of sample sites, including UTM coordinates and fish count summaries is found in Appendix 1. As in the previous year the Mid Coast contained the most tributary sites that were sampled (snorkeled or electrofished), and the South Coast contained the fewest (Table 2). The Mid-South Coast and Umpqua had the highest number of sites that could not be sampled, primarily due to lack of water. Site access denial was highest in the South Coast and North Coast. A total of 29 coho tributary sites (18% of sites) and 8 steelhead tributary sites (13% of sites) that were snorkeled were

revisited for fish counts by supervisory staff. When resurveys of juvenile salmonid counts from coho tributaries were regressed on original surveys (Figure 2), the calculated slope of the relationships were 0.9616x (steelhead; r^2 =0.95), 0.9619x (coho; r^2 =0.96), and 0.8845x (cutthroat; r^2 =0.79), indicating high agreement between initial survey counts and resurveys.

Table 2. Summary of site visits of randomly selected sites in 2005 for juvenile salmonid surveys in Oregon coastal Monitoring Areas. Refer to Table 1 and Methods section for explanation of superscripts at South Coast sites. Note that data for 4th-5th order sites for South Coast coho are not listed but are the total of the Non-Rogue and Rogue steelhead sites.

		Sample	ed	d Not Sampled							
	Snor	keled	Electro- fished	Could I Sam			ove rrier	Access	Denied	No	t Visited
	1 st - 3 rd	4 th - 5 th	1 st - 3 rd	1 st - 3 rd	4 th - 5 th	1 st - 3 rd	4 th - 5 th	1 st - 3 rd	4 th - 5 th	1 st - 3 rd	
Monitoring Area	order	4 th - 5 th order									
North Coast	35	11	12	2	7	1	0	8	0	1	0
Mid-Coast	43	12	1	1	3	2	0	1	0	1	0
Mid-South Coast	28	11	4	5	3	1	0	5	1	3	0
Umpqua	33	12	8	5	0	3	2	4	0	0	0
South Coast ³	31	-	0	1	-	0	-	7	-	0	-
South Coast ¹	31	4	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	0
South Coast ²	21	9	0	4	0	2	0	5	2	1	2




Figure 2. The relationship between original snorkel counts of the number of juvenile salmonids in pools and resurvey of the same pools, subdivided by monitoring area in 2005. Symbols are individual sites, and total linear regression line and model results are for all sites combined.

Juvenile Salmonid Frequency of Occurrence

Coho

Coho occurred in 73-94% of the 1st-3rd order stream sites, were more widespread among Mid-South and Mid Coast sites, and as in previous years least widespread among Umpqua sites (Table 3). Within the 1st-3rd order stream sites of an MA, the average percent pool occupancy ranged between 63% (Umpqua and North Coast) to 75% (South Coast). There were no statistical differences in mean percent pool occupancy between the MA's, for either tributary or mainstem sites (Table 4). Plots of percent pool occupancy in 1st-3rd order stream reaches illustrate the extent to which juvenile coho are distributed among pools for each site (Figures 3-4). All monitoring areas had more than 50% of sites with > 50% pool occupancy.

In comparisons between the 2002 and 2005 brood year cycle (for adult spawners in 2001 and 2004, respectively) none of the MA's had differences in pool occupancies between the brood years (Table 5).

Steelhead

Steelhead occurred in 66-78% of the 1st-3rd order sites (excluding South Coast sites). For South Coast sites selected from steelhead distributions, steelhead were present at 94% and 89% of sites in the non-Rogue and Rogue basins, respectively (Table 3). The average percent pool occupancy ranged from 30% (Umpqua) to 46% (North Coast) for 1st-3rd order stream sites. Non-Rogue and Rogue basin1st-3rd order stream sites had significantly greater pool occupancy than comparable streams in other MA's (Table 4). There were no differences between 4th-5th order sites (Table 4).

Cutthroat

Cutthroat occurred in 66-94% of 1st-3rd order stream sites (excluding South Coast sites). For South Coast sites selected from steelhead distributions, cutthroat trout were present at 94% and 77% of sites in the non-Rogue and Rogue basins, respectively (Table 3). The average percent pool occupancy ranged from 22% (Umpqua) to 43% (North Coast) for 1st-3rd order stream sites. As with steelhead, there were no differences between 4th-5th order sites (Table 4), and with the exception of Non-Rogue 4th-5th order stream sites (where 91% of pools contained cutthroat) there was no consistent trend of larger streams having great pool occupancy than smaller streams.

Table 3. The occurrence of juvenile salmonids observed by snorkeling or electrofishing in Oregon coastal streams in 2005. sthd=steelhead, cutt=cutthroat. Refer to Table 1 and Methods section for explanation of superscripts at South Coast sites. Cells with no data at South Coast sites are from spatially unbalanced site selection.

	%of sites with at least one Juvenile Fish			Mean Pe	rcent Pool ((and 95% 0	Median Percent Pool Occupancy			
Monitoring Area	coho	sthd	cutt	coho	sthd	cutt	coho	sthd	cutt
1 st - 3 rd order streams	3								
North Coast	78	78	83	63(9)	46(7)	43(7)	87	50	38
Mid Coast	86	75	84	69(8)	32(7)	29(5)	86	22	23
Mid-South Coast	94	78	94	76(10)	42(8)	41(8)	91	45	43
Umpqua	73	66	66	63(11)	30(7)	22(7)	86	24	12
South Coast ³	81	-	-	75(12)	-	-	94	-	-
South Coast ¹	-	94	94	-	78(8)	42(8)	-	88	43
South Coast ²	-	89	77	-	67(11)	27(11)	-	76	17
4 th - 5 th order streams	5								
North Coast	82	91	91	67(23)	69(16)	72(16)	100	86	83
Mid Coast	67	58	67	43(11)	24(10)	30(3)	57	12	37
Mid-South Coast	91	100	100	66(19)	63(18)	44(11)	67	67	31
Umpqua	50	100	42	31(18)	25(14)	18((12)	11	3.5	0
South Coast ³	27	-	-	4(4)	-	-	0	-	-
South Coast ¹	-	100	100	-	91(14)	45(24)	-	100	30
South Coast ²	-	70	30	-	57(25)	12(12)	-	72	0

Table 4. *P*-values for tests of significance (Z statistic) for comparisons of the mean percent pool occupancy by juvenile salmonids for coastal Monitoring Areas sampled in 2005. Significant differences are bolded. Refer to Table 1 for key to header abbreviations and explanation of superscripts at South Coast sites.

	1 st -3 rd order streams						4 th -5th order streams				
Monitoring Area	NC	MC	MS	UMP	SC-NR	NC	MC	MS	UMP	SC-NR	
Coho											
Mid Coast	0.3530					0.3586					
Mid-South Coast	0.0692	0.2825				0.3948	0.4456				
Umpqua	0.9561	0.3859	0.0928			0.3905	0.4382	0.3917			
South Coast ³	0.1252	0.4032	0.9034	0.1482		0.3321	0.3407	0.3323	0.3505		
Steelhead											
Mid Coast	0.0077					0.3537					
Mid-South Coast	0.5116	0.0664				0.3898	0.5630				
Umpqua	0.0024	0.7165	0.0290			0.3729	0.5019	0.3791			
South Coast ¹	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.3717	0.4977	0.3778	0.4866		
South Coast ²	0.0021	0.0000	0.0005	0.0000	0.0917	0.4234	0.6812	0.4360	0.6595	0.5351	
Cutthroat											
Mid Coast	0.0029					0.3291					
Mid-South Coast	0.7825	0.0149				0.3588	0.4266				
Umpqua	0.0001	0.1270	0.0006			0.3632	0.4390	0.3961			
South Coast ¹	0.8928	0.0099	0.8945	0.0004		0.4145	0.5874	0.4892	0.8059		
South Coast ²	0.0191	0.7498	0.0423	0.4462	0.0326	0.3611	0.4332	0.3924	0.5321	0.3906	

Table 5. Differences in the mean percent pool occupancy for juvenile coho in 1st - 3rd order streams sampled in each coastal Monitoring Area for the brood cycle 2002-2005.

Monitoring Area	Difference in means	P for difference		
North Coast	7.5	0.233	No difference	
Mid Coast	1.5	0.820	No difference	
Mid-South Coast	9.5	0.259	No difference	
Umpqua	3.1	0.694	No difference	
South Coast	8.2	0.297	No difference	




Figure 3. Percent occupancy in pools by juvenile coho in 1st-3rd order stream reaches of the North Coast, Mid Coast, and Mid-South Coast monitoring areas, summer 2005. See Appendix 1 for additional site data.




Figure 4. Percent occupancy in pools by juvenile coho in 1st-3rd order stream reaches of the Umpqua and South Coast monitoring areas, summer 2005. See Appendix 1 for additional site data.

Juvenile Salmonid Density

Coho

Average pool densities for coho in 1st-3rd order stream sites (Table 6) were between 0.23 fish/m² (Mid Coast) and 0.83 fish/m² (South Coast). The three most southern monitoring areas had significantly higher densities than the other MA's in 1st-3rd order stream sites (Table 7). There were no differences in densities at 4th-5th order stream sites.

The percentage of 1^{st} - 3^{rd} order stream sites with juvenile coho densities ≥ 0.7 fish/m² (Table 6; full seeding level) were greater in the three southern MA's (>32%) than in the two northern MA's. Seeding levels ≥ 0.7 fish/m² were not achieved in any MA for 4^{th} - 5^{th} order stream reaches. Sites within a monitoring area had a range of juvenile coho densities, illustrating the relative degree to which sites were fully seeded (Figures 5-6).

The results of Z-tests for differences in the mean pool density of juvenile coho observed for the same brood cycles (sample years 2002 and 2005, for fish spawned in 2001 and 2004, respectively) are summarized in Table 8. As in previous brood cycle years comparisons, there were no significant differences in juvenile coho densities between the years at any MA.

Steelhead

Average pool densities of steelhead in 1st-3rd order streams (Table 6) were between 0.04 fish/m² (Umpqua and Mid Coast) and 0.09 fish/m² (South Coast Rogue basin). South Coast Rogue basin sites had statistically higher steelhead densities than other MA's except the North Coast (Table 7). Steelhead densities in 4th-5th order streams were not different between the MA's. Steelhead densities were low in 4th-5th order sites, ranging between <0.01 -0.07 fish/m².

Cutthroat

Average pool densities of cutthroat in 1st-3rd order streams (Table 6) were between 0.01 fish/m² (Mid Coast and Umpqua) and 0.03 (North Coast and Mid-South Coast). North Coast densities were greater in 1st-3rd order sites than all other MA's except the Mid-South (Table 7). Average cutthroat densities were low in larger order streams, and did not differ between MA's.

Table 6. Density (fish/m²) of juvenile fish in pools from snorkeler counts within coastal Monitoring Areas in 2005. sthd=steelhead, cutt=cutthroat. Refer to Table 1 and Methods section for explanation of superscripts at South Coast sites. Cells with no data at South Coast sites are from spatially unbalanced site selection.

	Percent of sites with mean pool				Median	density o	f Juvenile			
	density > 0.7	Mean densi	Mean density (95% CI) of Juvenile Fish				Fish			
Monitoring Area	coho/m²	coho	sthd	cutt	coho	sthd	cutt			
1 st - 3 rd order streams	3									
North Coast	18	0.36(0.12)	0.07(0.03)	0.03(0.00)	0.26	0.03	0.02			
Mid Coast	2	0.23(0.07)	0.04(0.03)	0.01(0.00)	0.15	0.01	0.01			
Mid-South Coast	32	0.64(0.22)	0.05(0.03)	0.03(0.02)	0.41	0.03	0.01			
Umpqua	34	0.65(0.25)	0.04(0.02)	0.01(0.02)	0.44	0.01	< 0.01			
South Coast ³	48	0.83(0.26)	-	-	0.69	-	-			
South Coast ¹	0	-	0.06(0.01)	0.02(0.00)	-	0.05	0.01			
South Coast ²	5	-	0.09(0.03)	0.02(0.02)	-	0.08	<0.01			
4 th - 5 th order streams	3									
North Coast	0	0.05(0.08)	0.01(0.01)	<0.01(0.00)	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01			
Mid Coast	0	<0.01(0.03)	< 0.01(0.00)	< 0.01(0.00)	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01			
Mid-South Coast	0	0.07(0.03)	0.01(0.01)	< 0.01(0.00)	0.03	0.02	< 0.01			
Umpqua	0	0.04(0.01)	< 0.01(0.00)	< 0.01(0.00)	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01			
South Coast ³	0	<0.01(0.00)	-	-	< 0.01	-	-			
South Coast ¹	-	-	0.07(0.04)	<0.01(0.00)	-	0.06	< 0.01			
South Coast ²	-	-	0.01(0.01)	<0.01(0.00)	-	< 0.01	<0.01			

Table 7. *P*-values for tests of significance (Z statistic) for comparisons of the mean density of juvenile salmonids in pools for coastal Monitoring Areas sampled in 2005. Significant differences are bolded. Refer to Table 1 for key to header abbreviations and explanation of superscripts at South Coast sites.

		1 st -3 rd	order st	reams			4 th -5th	order sti	eams	
Monitoring Area	NC	MC	MS	UMP	SC-NR	NC	MC	MS	UMP	SC-NR
Coho										
Mid Coast	0.0611					0.3630				
Mid-South Coast	0.0276	0.0004				0.7382	0.4187			
Umpqua	0.0480	0.0018	0.9859			0.8027	0.4045	0.6165		
South Coast ³	0.0014	0.0000	0.2778	0.2025		0.3258	0.4056	0.3228	0.3271	
Steelhead										
Mid Coast	0.2254					0.3315				
Mid-South Coast	0.3715	0.8141				0.5629	0.4006			
Umpqua	0.0825	0.8041	0.6149			0.3315	0.6547	0.3309		
South Coast ¹	0.4593	0.3819	0.6332	0.0715		0.6547	0.3315	0.6732	0.3340	
South Coast ²	0.1752	0.0079	0.0278	0.0002	0.0056	0.4922	0.4328	0.4829	0.4553	0.9298
Cutthroat										
Mid Coast	0.0053					0.3297				
Mid-South Coast	0.7901	0.0804				0.3705	0.8415			
Umpqua	0.0061	0.7815	0.0713			0.3361	0.6547	0.3563		
South Coast ¹	0.0288	0.8231	0.1176	0.6892		0.4496	0.5271	0.6160	0.5271	
South Coast ²	0.0232	0.8911	0.1080	0.7450	0.9429	0.3297	0.5271	0.3427	0.5271	0.3352

Table 8. Differences in mean density in pools for juvenile coho in 1st - 3rd order streams sampled in each coastal Monitoring Area for the brood cycle 2002-2005.

Comparison	Difference in Means	P for difference
North Coast	0.02	0.824
Mid Coast	0.06	0.300
Mid-South Coast	0.21	0.267
Umpqua	0.24	0.119
South Coast	0.36	0.099




Figure 5. Mean density (and standard deviation) in pools of juvenile coho at 1st-3rd order stream reaches in North Coast, Mid Coast, and Mid-Souh Coast monitoring areas, summer of 2005. The reference line at 0.7 coho/m² represents a baseline for full seeding level of juvenile coho in Oregon coastal streams. See Appendix 1 for additional site data.




Figure 6. Mean density (and standard deviation) in pools of juvenile coho at 1st-3rd order stream reaches in the Umpqua and South Coast monitoring areas, summer of 2005. The reference line at 0.7 coho/m² represents a baseline for full seeding level of juvenile coho in Oregon coastal streams. See Appendix 1 for additional site data.

Juvenile Coho Population Trend and Comparison to Adult Abundance

At the monitoring area scale, juvenile coho pool densities correspond to some extent with adult coho spawner estimates within the same MA's (Oregon Adult Salmon Inventory and Sampling [http://nrimp.dfw.state.or.us/crl/default.aspx?pn=OASIS]. In the North Coast, juvenile coho densities have increased with adult densities, but the data are better fit with a logistic curve that has a density dependent term in the equation (F-test p values in Figure 7). For the Mid Coast, adult numbers have increased in recent years but mean MA juvenile densities have remained < 0.4 fish/m², suggesting some limitation in fresh water rearing potential, relative to other MA's. A logistic function was only slightly better at fitting these Mid Coast data (Figure 7). The Mid-South Coast has seen greater adult numbers the last few years, with corresponding linear increases in juvenile coho densities. A simple linear regression model explained 67% of the variation in juvenile coho densities, and there is no indication yet that juvenile rearing densities have reached a threshold. The Umpqua and South Coast produce fewer adults per mile than other MA's, but South Coast sites support a greater number of juvenile coho for a given number of adults, relative to other MA's, suggesting greater rearing capacity in South Coast streams (Figure 7).




Figure 7. The relationship between the average number of juvenile coho/m² in 1st-3rd order stream reaches and the average adults/mile that produced them, for each of the five Monitoring Areas on the Oregon Coast, 1998-2005. Brood year refers to the year adult survey data were collected. Fitted lines are from the linear regression model with results displayed in each panel. A logistic model was also fit to the North and Mid Coast MA's, with results in the respective panels.

References

Jepsen, D. B. and Rodgers, J. D. 2004. Abundance monitoring of juvenile salmonids in Oregon coastal streams, 2002-2003. Monitoring Program Report Number OPSW-ODFW-2003-1, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland.

Appendix

Appendix 1. Location, sample sizes, average density, and percentage of pools containing juvenile salmonids at coastal Monitoring Area sites sampled in 2005. Bolded sites are 4th-5th order streams. Abbreviations for monitoring areas are: NC= North Coast, MC= Mid Coast, MS=Mid-South Coast, UMP=Umpqua, and SC=South Coast. South Coast sub-areas include 1st- 3rd order streams within coho distribution (coho), and steelhead streams found in the Rogue basin (R sthd) and non-Rogue basins (NR sthd). Abbreviations for fish species are: Sthd= Steelhead, and Cutt=Cutthroat.

Monitoring	Site	Basin Name, Subbasin Name	Reach Name	IITM-east	UTM-north	Den (fish		Occupa	ncy (% of with f		per site
Area	Site	Dasiii Name, Subbasiii Name	ixeacii Naiile	O I IVI-cast	O I WI-HOLLI	N	Coho	N	Coho	Sthd	Cutt
NO	40	Treal Diver Court Ford	Davis dans Ca	457040.0	5000450	pools	0.005	pools	0.7	00	- 00
NC NC		Trask River, South Fork	Boundary Cr	457348.6			0.225		87	80	90 61
NC NC		Nestucca River, Mainstem	Nestucca R	461795.8			0.378		100	78	
NC NC		Nestucca River, Mainstem	Horn Cr	427358.8			0.301	23	87	78	65
NC		Nestucca River, Mainstem	Powder Cr	448286.6		23	0.444		100	78	96
NC		Nestucca River, Mainstem	Mina Cr	451372.2			0.083		50	0	0
NC		Nestucca River, Beaver Creek	N Beaver Cr	430313			-	6	50	0	67
NC		Tillamook River, Mainstem	Joe Cr	435237.5			-	16	0	0	0
NC		Tillamook River, Mainstem	Tillamook R	434912.7			-	4	0	0	0
NC		Trask River, Mainstem	Bear Cr	439332.7			-	2	0	0	0
NC		Nestucca River, Beaver Creek	Beaver Cr	435486.7	5014703		0.033		92	77	100
NC		Neskowin Creek, Mainstem	Sloan Cr	428426.4			0.188	5	40	40	0
NC	960	Neskowin Creek, Mainstem	Prospect Cr	426263.9	4992506		-	4	75	0	75
NC	1066	Nehalem River, North Fork	Lost Cr	444161.6	5069962	19	0.000		0	16	21
NC	1067	Nehalem River, North Fork	Lost Cr	444060.7	5070843	19	0.000	19	0	16	21
NC	1248	Nehalem River, Rock Creek	Rock Cr, S Fk	466271	5068555	18	1.198	18	89	94	0
NC	1295	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Wolf Cr, N Fk	472596.9	5069572	28	0.397	28	100	21	32
NC	1362	Nehalem River, Salmonberry R	Salmonberry R	462627.8	5061366	20	0.353	20	90	90	20
NC	1368	Nehalem River, Salmonberry R	Salmonberry R	463834.6	5061399	13	0.072	13	85	100	54
NC	1481	Rover Creek, Mainstem	Little Muddy Cr	426890	5090648	6	0.052	6	33	83	33
NC	1501	Necanicum River, Mainstem	Necanicum R	431563.5	5084432	10	0.290	10	100	70	70
NC	1520	Rover Creek, Mainstem	Volmer Cr	429592.3	5084341	0	-	30	80	70	83
NC	1605	Nehalem River, North Fork	Nehalem R, Little N Fk	445503.9	5079392	28	0.234	28	100	36	36
NC	1625	Nehalem River, North Fork	Nehalem R, N Fk	442867.8	5072986	7	0.009	7	57	43	29
NC		Elk River,	Elk River	377610.1	4739545	33	0.000	33	0	18	39

Monitoring	C:40	Basin Nama Subbasin Nama	Decel Name	LITM cost	UTM-north	Den (fish		Occupa	ncy (% of with f		per site
Area	Site	Basin Name, Subbasin Name	Reach Name	U i wi-east	U I W-north	N pools	Coho	N pools	Coho	Sthd	Cutt
NC	1750	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Brush Creek	383160.5	4726743	36	0.000	36	0	94	58
NC	1817	Kilchis River, Main Stem	Sharp Cr	437287.9	5045051	38	0.000	38	0	26	32
NC	1864	Kilchis River, Main Stem	Kilchis R	438235.5	5045367	6	0.001	6	50	50	50
NC	1923	Kilchis River, Main Stem	Mapes Cr	435079.2	5041309	0	-	2	0	0	0
NC	1945	Tillamook River, Mainstem	Vaughn Cr	433294.3	5040188	4	0.346	4	100	50	75
NC	2050	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Foley Cr	434693.9	5057499	16	0.358	16	100	75	56
NC	2191	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Walker Cr	463048.7	5092724	0	-	25	100	68	52
NC	2245	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Walker Cr	462371.7	5098552	22	0.933	22	95	55	55
NC	2265	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Hamilton Cr	456452.4	5090918	22	0.826	23	100	39	43
NC	2425	Nehalem River, Rock Creek	Illinois River	417728.2	4701878	0	-	21	90	14	19
NC	2502	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Calvin Cr	477264.4	5093654	0	-	15	67	13	13
NC	2611	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Messing Cr	480951.3	5093916	0	-	23	35	22	30
NC	2626	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Deep Cr	476189.9	5086107	0	-	11	91	0	0
NC	2860	Trask River, North Fork	Elkhorn Cr	465409.2	5032137	15	0.372	15	100	100	100
NC	2927	Wilson River, Mainstem	Jordan Cr	454419.2	5042699	10	0.096	10	90	100	90
NC	2939	Wilson River, Mainstem	Ben Smith Cr	459762.3	5047942	24	1.823	24	96	88	21
NC	3059	Trask River, North Fork	Clear Cr, #2	461649.5	5036338	29	0.979	29	86	69	52
NC	3076	Wilson River, Mainstem	Jordan Cr	461752.5	5044252	19	0.000	19	0	0	84
NC	3079	Wilson River, Mainstem	Jordan Cr	461227.1	5043868	34	0.003	34	9	56	91
NC	3172	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Nehalem R	476942	5067334	15	0.337	15	100	53	13
NC	3181	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Castor Cr	478145.6	5064589	25	0.635	28	96	0	7
NC	3183	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Nehalem R	477857.8	5064618	23	1.369	23	100	57	30
NC	11370	Nestucca River, Mainstem	Little Nestucca River	429297.2	4998210	10	0.007	10	20	30	30
NC	11380	Wilson River, Mainstem	Wilson River	451825.4	5040720	5	0.000	5	0	100	100
NC	11400	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Nehalem River	480153.8	5076813	9	0.018	9	78	11	0
NC	11410	Trask River, Mainstem	Trask River	443981.6	5032109	7	0.023	7	100	86	86
NC	11420	Trask River, North Fork	North Fork Trask River	463740.3	5034590	6	0.122	6	100	50	83
NC		Nehalem River, Mainstem	Nestucca River	440346.7	5013989	2	0.014	2	100	100	100
NC	11460	Trask River, Mainstem	South Fork Trask River	452490.1	5030538	6	0.026	6	100	100	83
NC	11480	Nehalem River, Mainstem	Nehalem River	486575.9	5078142	4	0.000	4	0	0	25
NC	11490	Wilson River, Mainstem	Wilson River	441041.2	5034920	4	0.097	4	100	100	100
NC	11510	Nestucca River, Mainstem	Nestucca River	430620.4	5009166	5	0.001	5	40	100	100
NC	11530	Nestucca River, Mainstem	Nestucca River	449166.9	5010755	6	0.195	6	100	83	83
MC	7	Alsea River, Five Rivers	Preacher Cr	442462.2	4902651	17	0.502	18	100	6	17

Monitoring						Den (fish	*	Occupa	ncy (% of with fi		oer site
Area	Site	Basin Name, Subbasin Name	Reach Name	UTM-east	UTM-north	N	Coho	N	Coho	Sthd	Cutt
						pools		pools			
MC	44	Alsea River, Five Rivers	Cascade Cr	431433.6	4907344	32	0.135	32	100	22	72
MC	79	Alsea River, Mainstem	Cow Cr	439290.3	4913451	13	0.336	13	77	77	23
MC	81	Alsea River, Five Rivers	Little Lobster Cr	443434.3	4906170	33	0.147	33	88	39	33
MC	220	Siuslaw River, Lake Creek	Rogers Cr	429201.9	4889735	39	0.286	39	100	31	62
MC	257	Siuslaw River, Lake Creek	Deadwood Cr, W Fk	436667.8	4893541	4	1.594	4	100	25	0
MC	261	Siuslaw River, Lake Creek	Deadwood Cr, W Fk	440252.6	4888737	22	0.069		91	27	55
MC	315	Siuslaw River, Lake Creek	Bear Cr	445915.4	4889752	28	0.280	28	93	11	36
MC	411	Alsea River, North Fork	Crooked Cr	457246.3	4919071	25	0.193		96	88	60
MC	445	Alsea River, South Fork	Swamp Cr	450652.1	4907107	24	0.221	24	100	63	21
MC	470	Siuslaw River, Wolf Creek	Wolf Cr	474465.7	4861781	5	0.000	12	0	0	0
MC	490	Siuslaw River, Wolf Creek	Wolf Cr	465625.3	4863425	25	0.015	25	48	0	20
MC	499	Siuslaw River, Wolf Creek	Swamp Cr	466577	4865889	32	0.071	32	78	0	13
MC	609	Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Clay Cr	454555.1	4861159	20	0.449	20	95	20	30
MC	650	Siuslaw River, South Fork	Siuslaw R, S Fk	483415.7	4847648	9	0.000	9	0	0	0
MC	682	Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Siuslaw R, N Fk	479084.1	4851998	7	0.000	8	0	0	0
MC	691	Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Hawley Cr	483297.7	4855114	0	-	17	0	0	0
MC	711	Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Lawson Cr, Trib B	421956.6	4868080	22	0.249	22	91	77	50
MC	729	Cape Creek, Mainstem	Wapiti Cr	413698.9	4886378	35	0.083	35	63	66	23
MC		Tenmile Creek, Mainstem	Mill Cr	414583	4895297	15	0.000	15	0	60	33
MC		Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Hadsall Cr, Trib D	432085.3	4871507	26	0.337	26	96	35	23
MC	911	Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Potato Patch Cr	452781.5	4871464	3	0.544	3	67	100	33
MC	957	Siuslaw River, Lake Creek	Deadwood Cr	439879.4	4884655	9	0.000	9	22	0	11
MC	1026	Yaquina River, Elk Creek	Spout Cr	445519.4	4933202	33	0.149	33	100	0	39
MC	1076	Yaquina River, Little Elk Creek	Oglesby Cr	442427.3	4942788	9	0.437	9	67	11	44
MC		Siletz River, Rock Creek	Big Rock Cr	443189.3	4952759	20	0.129	20	95	15	55
MC	1247	Siletz River, Mainstem	Mill Cr, N Fk	439998.1	4957057	30	0.450	30	100	80	53
MC		Siletz River, Mainstem	Euchre Cr	429210	4958458	22	0.098	22	95	95	45
MC	1299	Siletz River, Mainstem	Dewey Cr	425661.8	4952013	30	0.302	30	97	13	13
MC		Yaquina River, Mainstem	W Olalla Cr, Trib A	426778.1	4945669		0.080		25	0	0
MC		Yaquina River, Mainstem	Abbey Cr	429743.8			0.255		70	40	60
MC		Cummins Cr, Mainstem	Cummins Cr	415211.4		23	0.139		83	75	63
MC		Big Cr, Mainstem, Sfk, Dick'S Fk	Big Cr	414063.6		35	0.358		94	26	20
MC		Alsea River, Drift Creek	Horse Cr	428322.4			0.333		40	0	80
MC		Alsea River, Drift Creek	Cape Horn Cr	434055.7					100	15	21

Monitoring	0:4-	Baria Nama California Nama	December Name	LITA	LITE	Den (fish	*	Occupa	ncy (% of with fi		oer site
Area	Site	Basin Name, Subbasin Name	Reach Name	U I M-east	UTM-north	N pools	Coho	N pools	Coho	Sthd	Cutt
MC	1537	Beaver Creek, Mainstem	Elkhorn Cr	422105.3	4927621	33	0.602		94	48	33
MC		Alsea River, Five Rivers	Five Rivers	434528.6			0.025		77	9	18
MC		Alsea River, South Fork	Box Canyon Creek	423271.7				20	75	5	20
MC		Alsea River, South Fork	S Fork Chetco River	418701.8			0.235		100	14	0
MC		Siuslaw River, Wolf Creek	Wolf Cr	457644.9			0.001	17	18	0	6
MC		Big Creek, Mainstem & S Fk	Big Cr	411595.3		33	0.044	33	39	33	27
MC		Siletz River, Drift Creek	Drift Cr	432061.8		26		26	92	88	46
MC		Siletz River, Mainstem	Wildcat Cr	435177.4		6	0.000	6	0	67	17
MC		Siletz River, Drift Creek	Drift Cr	422944.3		14	0.023		64	21	14
MC		Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Siuslaw River	445859.1	4875840		0.000		0	0	14
MC		Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Siuslaw River	438955.2			0.000	6	0	0	0
MC	12520	Alsea River, Mainstem	Alsea River	435739	4914067	6	0.001	6	67	50	50
MC		Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Siuslaw River	448093.9		2	0.000		0	0	0
MC		Siletz River, Mainstem	Siletz River	435926.7		7	0.002	7	57	71	57
MC	12570	Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Siuslaw River	441978.2		12	0.000	12	0	17	0
MC		Siletz River, Mainstem	Siletz River	425937.6	4957689	3	0.001	3	67	33	67
MC	12590	Alsea River, Mainstem	Alsea River	445709.2	4912286	5	0.000	5	60	0	40
MC	12600	Yachats River, Mainstem	Yachats River	414855.5	4905920	9	0.045	9	100	44	33
MC	12610	Siuslaw River, Mainstem	Wolf Creek	456498.8	4865668	0	-	15	60	7	0
MC	12630	Alsea River, Mainstem	Alsea River	433478.8	4911048	0	-	7	43	0	43
MC	12640	Siletz River, Mainstem	Siletz River	441454.2	4967136	7	0.002	7	57	71	57
MS	27	Coos River, South Fork	Lake Cr	447080.3	4793925	23	0.338	23	83	43	39
MS	182	Coquille River, South Fork	Ward Cr	399330.3	4766084	24	0.701	26	85	19	4
MS	203	Coquille River, Mainstem	Bear Cr	394765.1	4766446	30	2.970	36	89	25	44
MS	317	Fourmile Cr, Mainstem	Fourmile Cr	389212.7	4761159	28	0.641	28	100	64	36
MS	326	Fourmile Cr, Mainstem	Fourmile Cr	391436.7	4760010	21	1.114	22	95	55	41
MS	382	Coquille River, East Fork	Yankee Run, Trib C	417515.6	4779004	0	-	22	5	0	32
MS	524	Coquille River, North Fork	Middle Cr	422277.5	4787999	24	0.402	24	100	58	50
MS	600	Coquille River, East Fork	Elk Cr	420877.4	4774424	23	0.994		100	57	39
MS	689	Coquille River, North Fork	Johns Cr	413716.1	4769824	17	0.497	17	71	29	24
MS	734	Coquille River, Middle Fork	Sandy Cr	428269.1	4762407	16	0.120	18	94	0	22
MS	781	Coquille River, South Fork	Salmon Cr	409609.1	4744205	14	0.242	15	93	73	73
MS	836	Coquille River, South Fork	Coquille R, S Fk	412826.8	4734312	10	0.067	10	90	100	60
MS	858	Sixes River, Main Stem	Sixes R	393274.2	4739671	6	0.000	6	0	100	67

Monitoring						Den (fish		Occupa	ncy (% of		per site
Area	Site	Basin Name, Subbasin Name	Reach Name	UTM-east	UTM-north	N	Coho	N	Coho	Sthd	Cutt
7•						pools	00110	pools	00110	Othic	Juli
MS	999	Coos River, Millicoma River	Deer Cr, Trib B	423228.2	4829311	7	2.354		100	57	71
MS	1070	Coos River, Millicoma River	Elk Cr	424701.7	4818931	40	0.910		100	34	0
MS	1199	Coos River, South Fork	Rogers Cr	414926.9	4802068	6	1.318	6	50	17	100
MS	1249	Coquille River, North Fork	Coquille R, N Fk	427083	4796162	32	0.827	35	100	71	74
MS		Coos River, South Fork	Wren Smith Cr	412671.4	4796837	36	0.259	36	92	64	11
MS	1337	Coquille River, North Fork	Coquille R, N Fk	419041.7	4796503	21	0.657	21	100	52	57
MS	1385	Coos River, Millicoma River	Millicoma R, E Fk	429197.5	4807560	36	0.622	36	97	61	64
MS	1415	Coos River, Mainstem	Sullivan Cr	411892.9	4813604	2	0.000	3	0	100	67
MS	1627	Coos River, Mainstem	Cox Canyon	393614.7	4791324	0	-	4	50	0	75
MS	1757	Tahkenitch Creek, Fivemile Cr	Panther Creek	395887.2		29	0.360	29	100	0	59
MS	1849	Siltcoos River, Maple Creek	Grant Cr	417821.6	4863971	0	-	7	43	0	0
MS		Coos River, South Fork	Williams R	445541.9	4787215	41	1.133	42	100	50	48
MS	1942	Coquille River, Mainstem	Hall Cr	398698.2	4768517	26	0.252	29	86	21	14
MS	2025	Coquille River, North Fork	Middle Cr	415527.9	4780019	17	0.196	17	100	47	47
MS	2084	Sixes River, North Fork	Sixes R, N Fk	401755.1	4746509	20	0.301	20	95	15	10
MS	2220	Sixes River, Mainstem	Chetco River	405933.6	4674653	19	0.053	19	79	100	5
MS	2322	Tenmile Creek, Eel Lake	Eel Cr	404474.2	4826673	0	-	31	3	0	10
MS	2438	Coos River, Millicoma River	Packard Cr	417115.2	4806061	10	0.265	10	40	40	60
MS	2605	Tahkenitch Creek, Fivemile Cr	Tahkenitch Lake	414465.9	4851521	12	0.415	14	86	0	14
MS	13390	Coquille River, Mainstem	S Fork Coquille River	409944.9	4754601	10	0.026	10	30	30	20
MS	13400	Coos River, Millicoma River	Et Fork Millicoma River	422427.4	4811514	7	0.148	7	100	100	86
MS	13410	not identified	Williams River	438610.9	4796951	17	0.369	17	100	94	59
MS	13430	Coquille River, Mainstem	E Fork Coquille River	430484.3	4777020	16	0.000	16	0	6	31
MS	13440	Coquille River, Mainstem	S Fork Coquille River	412651.3	4735772	11	0.008	11	27	73	27
MS		Coquille River, Mainstem	M Fork Coquille River	423786.6	4763202	12	0.089	12	100	58	25
MS	13470	Coquille River, Mainstem	S Fork Coquille River	408976.2	4752118	9	0.012	9	67	67	44
MS	13480	Coos River, Millicoma River	E Fork Millicoma River	417658.8	4809169	6	0.029	6	100	50	67
MS	13490	Coquille River, Mainstem	M Fork Coquille River	438851.8	4757820	28	0.058	28	57	86	25
MS	13510	Coquille River, Mainstem	E Fork Coquille River	416833.2	4775041	4	0.041	4	100	100	75
MS	13520	Coos River, Mainstem	S Fork Coos River	421976.1	4803923	4	0.005	4	50	25	25
UMP	17	Umpqua River, Elk Creek	Buck Cr	483627.8	4838951	0	1	17	18	0	41
UMP	47	Umpqua River, Elk Creek	Bennet Cr	486822	4828471	15	0.585	18	67	50	11
UMP	53	Umpqua River, Elk Creek	Dodge Canyon	479471.7	4831550	1	0.952	3	100	0	0
UMP	153	Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Elgarose Cr	460635	4786895	0	-	21	100	24	

Monitoring						Den (fish		Occupa	ncy (% of with f		per site
Area	Site	Basin Name, Subbasin Name	Reach Name	UTM-east	UTM-north	N (IISII	Coho	N	Coho	Sthd	Cutt
Alca						pools	Cono	pools	Collo	Siliu	Cull
UMP	705	Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Middle Cr, S Fk	464706.7	4743386		0.000		0	75	0
UMP		Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Wood Cr	487845.1	4759656		0.117		63	4	13
UMP		Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Canyon Cr	477373.5			0.075		77	77	69
UMP		Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Wood Cr	467640.5		_	2.233		100	57	7
UMP		Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Clear Cr	480004.8			-	9	89	0	
UMP		Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Bull Run Cr	479943.1	4733624	2	4.500		100	0	
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	Wolf Cr	450688.5	4811470		0.214		100	74	41
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	Lutsinger Cr	442103.3	4831091	16	1.078		100	74	63
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Wassen Cr	427363.5			0.023		100	23	15
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Gold Cr	441856.4	4858233		0.437	14	100	64	36
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Moore Cr	439100.1	4857323		0.000		0	0	
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Johnson Cr	434897.7	4852447	15	0.444	17	100	53	41
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Crane Cr	439064.1	4855146		0.663		100	47	13
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Crane Cr	439410.2	4854316		0.935		100	33	0
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Big Cr, Trib A	445892.6		16	1.876		100	24	24
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Blind Cr	447785.6			0.749		100	50	
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Scare Cr	439960.7	4847603		0.847	22	95	55	64
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	Wells Cr	435809.8		8	0.521	8	75	63	38
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	Cedar Cr	449343.5			0.642		80	20	
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	Weatherly Cr	446938.5			0.000		0	14	0
UMP		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Banks Cr	486475.8	4804777	4	1.289		86	0	
UMP			Banks Cr	485922.3	4803433		-	6	0	0	
UMP		Umpqua River, Calapooya Creek	Cabin Cr	474449.6	4815414	0	-	9	33	0	
UMP			Oldham Cr	483974.4	4811998	0	-	28	0	0	0
UMP		Umpqua River, Calapooya Creek	Oldham Cr	482143.4	4810961	0	-	23	0	0	9
UMP		Umpqua River, North Umpqua	Sutherlin Cr	475677.3		0	-	8	0	0	13
UMP		Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Falcon Cr	537075		8	0.000	8	0	38	0
UMP	2368	Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Boulder Cr	517781.2	4768580	20	0.790	20	100	95	90
UMP	2414	Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Black Canyon Cr	525233.6	4754576	7	0.000	7	0	14	0
UMP	2517	Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Shively Cr	486706.3	4748309	3	0.156	3	67	0	0
UMP		Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Cow Ćr, W Fk	440293.1	4742050		0.090		100	69	19
UMP		Umpqua River, South Umpqua	S Myrtle Cr	483565.2	4763065	19	0.027	19	37	5	11
UMP		Umpqua River, South Umpqua	Shively Cr	488730.3		15	1.149	15	100	47	27
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	Dean Cr	419352.5	4837865	0	-	3	0	0	

Monitoring						Den (fish		Occupa	ncy (% of with f		per site
Area	Site	Basin Name, Subbasin Name	Reach Name	UTM-east	UTM-north	N	Coho	N	Coho	Sthd	Cutt
1						pools	00110	pools	00110	Ottila	
UMP	2668	Umpqua River, Mainstem	Mill Cr	431950	4828309	11	0.000		0	0	9
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Smith R, W Fk	439780.3		14	0.132	15	100	40	13
UMP		Umpqua River, Smith River	Smith R, W Fk	439765.6		14	0.132		100	40	
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	Calapooya Creek	470887.4	4806054	4	0.000		0	0	
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	South Umpqua River	499166.9	4754434	4	0.000	4	0	0	0
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	Elk Creek	458345.8	4833495	2	0.000		0	0	0
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	North Umpqua River	500708.9	4796436		0.000		50	100	50
UMP	14560	Umpqua River, South Fork	Cow Creek	466477.5	4752283	4	0.000	4	0	0	0
UMP		Umpqua River, Mainstem	Smith River	445346.1	4852661	14	0.001	14	21	7	0
UMP	14580	Umpqua River, Mainstem	South Umpqua River	515238.9	4764518	5	0.009	5	100	100	100
UMP	14590	Umpqua River, Mainstem	South Umpqua River	469057.3	4770852	3	0.000	3	0	0	0
UMP	14600	Umpqua River, South Fork	Cow Creek	459559.9	4731307	9	0.006	9	67	11	11
UMP	14610	Umpqua River, Mainstem	Elk Creek	454710.2	4832545	3	0.000	3	0	0	0
UMP	14630	Umpqua River, South Fork	Cow Creek	474285.1	4735055	16	0.460	16	100	19	19
UMP	14640	Umpqua River, Mainstem	North Umpqua River	517500.6	4797495	3	0.000	3	33	67	33
SC-coho	15	Rogue River, Mainstem	Grave Cr	477721.5	4719664	27	0.467	27	100	93	
SC-coho	36	Rogue River, Mainstem	Grave Cr	486190.5	4727234	16	0.000		0	88	
SC-coho	54	Rogue River, Mainstem	Sugarpine Cr	527054.1	4739737	17	1.783		94	65	
SC-coho	71	Rogue River, Mainstem	Elk Cr	526247.3	4734750	10	0.419		100	70	
SC-coho	92	Rogue River, Big Butte Creek	Big Butte Cr, S Fk	534700.4	4711625	12	0.637	12	83	42	50
SC-coho	110	Rogue River, Mainstem	Little Butte Cr, N Fk	535485.1	4696153	3	1.062	3	100	100	0
SC-coho	122	Rogue River, Big Butte Creek	Big Butte Cr, N Fk	538069.4	4711060	18	0.694		94	33	
SC-coho	155	Rogue River, Mainstem	Trail Cr, W Fk	512675.1	4724994	15	0.319		93	13	
SC-coho		Rogue River, Mainstem	Evans Cr, W Fk	496286.6	4721645		1.305		100	52	30
SC-coho	234	Rogue River, Mainstem	Evans Cr, W Fk	491542.7	4723522	31	0.943		100	87	42
SC-coho		Rogue River, Mainstem	Quartz Cr	461510.8	4713830	10	0.000		0	50	
SC-coho		Rogue River, Lobster Creek	Boulder Cr	402752.1	4719774	49	0.000		0	55	
SC-coho	444	Rogue River, Illinois River	Josephine Cr	441254.7	4668604	12	0.000		0	33	
SC-coho		Rogue River, Illinois River	Rough & Ready Cr, N Fk	435649.6	4659967	11	0.000		0	36	
SC-coho		Rogue River, Illinois River	Grayback Cr	463732.2	4665615		0.312	19	68	16	
SC-coho		Rogue River, Illinois River	Dunn Cr	449458.7	4649113		0.145		78	22	6
SC-coho		Rogue River, Illinois River	Illinois R, W Fk	436669.5			0.454		100	47	0
SC-coho		Rogue River, Mainstem	Soda Cr	540480.6		21	0.709		81	57	24
SC-coho	636	Rogue River, Mainstem	Lake Cr	530906.6	4695184	13	1.481	13	85	8	0

Monitoring						Den (fish		Occupa	ncy (% of with f		oer site
Area	Site	Basin Name, Subbasin Name	Reach Name	UTM-east	UTM-north	N	Coho	N	Coho	Sthd	Cutt
71100						pools	00110	pools	00110	Ottila	Outt
SC-coho	667	Rogue River, Applegate River	Beaver Cr	495213.4	4662366	28	1.422	28	100	89	54
SC-coho		Rogue River, Mainstem	Pleasant Cr	486361.3		9	0.197	9	89	0	0
SC-coho		Rogue River, Mainstem	Louse Cr	473436		4	4.419		100	50	25
SC-coho		Rogue River, Mainstem	Louse Cr	472002.9		4	0.959		100	40	40
SC-coho		Rogue River, Applegate River	Thompson Cr	480360.6		11	0.000		0	18	40 73
SC-coho		Rogue River, Illinois River	Deer Cr, N Fk	463815.9		6	1.089		100	33	67
SC-coho		Rogue River, Illinois River	Deer Cr, N Fk	463855.4		6	1.089	6	100	33	67
SC-coho	839	Rogue River, Applegate River	Waters Cr	454262.7	4692316	25	1.020	25	100	68	28
SC-coho	869	Rogue River, Applegate River	Waters Cr	454508.3	4690840	20	1.107	20	100	55	30
SC-coho		Rogue River, Applegate River	Elliot Cr	458297.8	4689765	5	1.593	5	100	60	20
SC-coho	899	Rogue River, Applegate River	Elliot Cr	458361.3	4689367	5	1.593	5	100	60	20
SC-coho		Rogue River, Illinois River	Draper Cr	450613	4681416	9	0.548	16	56	13	0
SC-R sthd	2060	Rogue River, Illinois River	Lawson Creek	407300.6	4701054	12	0.000	12	0	100	8
SC-R sthd	2062	Rogue River, Mainstem	Big Windy Creek	440591.2	4721251	27	0.000	27	0	100	22
SC-R sthd	2063	Rogue River, Wood Creek	Trib of Wood Creek	443723.5	4653885	6	0.276	6	83	17	0
SC-R sthd	2064	Rogue River, Illinois River	Silver Creek	427885.1	4700271	12	0.004	12	25	100	33
SC-R sthd	2067	Rogue River, Applegate River	Little Applegate River	509934.7	4666549	11	0.000	11	0	91	9
SC-R sthd		Rogue River, Taylor Creek	Secret Creek	445676.7	4697089	12	0.000	12	0	75	25
SC-R sthd		Rogue River, Mainstem	Pickett Creek	456717.9	4703218	13	0.416	13	100	77	46
SC-R sthd	2072	Rogue River, Illinois River	West Fork Indigo Creek	428767.1	4709597	25	0.000	25	0	92	64
SC-R sthd	2074	Rogue River, Mainstem	Saunders Creek	389260.1	4699210	43	0.007	43	2	63	5
SC-R sthd	2075	Rogue River, Mainstem	Coyote Creek	473147.4	4725027	21	0.000	21	0	86	57
SC-R sthd	2076	Rogue River, Illinois River	Horse Sign Creek	414291.8	4701905	24	0.000	24	0	92	13
SC-R sthd	2077	Rogue River, Mainstem	Foots Creek	489075.3	4691188	2	0.000	2	0	0	0
SC-R sthd	2078	Rogue River, Mainstem	East Creek	421866.3	4723193	36	0.013	36	6	69	11
SC-R sthd	2079	Rogue River, Illinois River	Left Fork Sucker Creek	466203.4	4656559	22	0.000		0	86	68
SC-R sthd	2081	Rogue River, Applegate River	Star Gulch Creek	489589.2	4668814	8	0.010		13	100	63
SC-R sthd	2082	Rogue River, Mainstem	Reuben Creek	452720.8	4723310	28	0.177	28	46	93	29
SC-R sthd	2425	Rogue River, Applegate River	Applegate River	463315.7	4696665	3	0.000	3	33	100	0
SC-R sthd	2426	Rogue River, Illinois River	Illinois River	417728.2	4701878	2	0.000	2	0	100	0
SC-R sthd		Rogue River, Illinois River	Illinois River	435368.4		2	0.000		0	100	0
SC-R sthd		Rogue River, Illinois River	West Fork Illinois River	445344.5		8	0.000		0	25	0
SC-R sthd		Rogue River, Mainstem	Grave Creek	458619.1	4721572	9	0.000	9	11	44	0
SC-R sthd	2431	Rogue River, Applegate River	Applegate River	491209.7	4675589	6	0.012	6	17	100	50

Monitoring						Den (fish		Occupa	ncy (% of with fi		er site
Area	Site	Basin Name, Subbasin Name	Reach Name	UTM-east	UTM-north	N	Coho	N	Coho	Sthd	Cutt
1 1						pools	00110	pools	30110	Otilia	Juli
SC-R sthd	2432	Rogue River, Illinois River	Illinois River	443128.6	4678943		0.000		0	100	50
SC-R sthd	2433	Rogue River, Applegate River	Applegate River	462476.2	4693567	0	-	2	0	0	0
SC-R sthd	1825	Rogue River, Elk Creek #2	Berry Creek	519352.8	4724639	1	0.000	1	0	0	0
SC-R sthd		Rogue River, Mainstem	Dead Indian Creek	545765.9	4681432	12	0.000	12	0	8	0
SC-R sthd		Rogue River, Big Butte Creek	Fourbit Creek	547030.6	4705256	16	0.000	16	0	38	100
SC-R sthd	1828	Rogue River, Mainstem	South Fork Reese Creek	521461.6	4710477	18	1.087	18	100	61	11
SC-R sthd	1830	Rogue River, Little Butte Creek	Lost Creek	534322.3	4688936	12	0.532	16	100	63	13
SC-R sthd	1831	Rogue River, Elk Creek	Elkhorn Creek	525602.6	4739238	14	0.044	15	33	53	27
SC-R sthd	2307	Rogue River, Big Butte Creek	S Fork Big Butte Creek	539831	4708784	4	0.000	5	0	0	20
SC-R sthd	2308	Rogue River, Mainstem	Little Butte Creek	514865.7	4700910	0	-	10	0	0	0
SC-NR sthd	1688	Winchuck River, Mainstem	Wheeler Creek	409571	4660287	15	0.000	15	0	87	60
SC-NR sthd	1689	Chetco River, N Fk Chetco River	Bosley Creek	395636.6	4671710	27	0.000	27	0	85	52
SC-NR sthd	1690	Chetco River, South Fork	Quail Prairie Creek	410127.8	4674306	26	0.000	26	0	88	38
SC-NR sthd	1692	Winchuck River, Wheeler Creek	unnamed trib	406443.8	4657563	21	0.000	21	0	48	90
SC-NR sthd	1693	Chetco River, Mainstem	Jack Creek	400311.9	4655261	39	0.355	39	100	74	49
SC-NR sthd	1694	Chetco River, Mainstem	Chetco River	420569.2	4682101	8	0.000	8	0	100	75
SC-NR sthd	1695	Brush Creek, Mainstem	Brush Creek	381995.3	4726960	21	0.150	21	86	95	81
SC-NR sthd	1696	Winchuck River, Mainstem	Sankey Creek	411516.4	4652321	13	0.000	13	0	77	15
SC-NR sthd	1697	Elk River, Mainstem	Blackberry Creek	398530.6	4728471	41	0.000		0	68	88
SC-NR sthd	1698	Hunter Creek, Mainstem	Hunter Creek	389368.7	4690908	25	0.000	25	0	96	24
SC-NR sthd	1699	Elk River, Mainstem	Elk River	430028.6	4676433	7	0.000	7	14	71	29
SC-NR sthd	1701	Hunter Creek, Mainstem	Big S Fork Hunter Creek	389805.9	4688708	40	0.001	40	8	65	35
SC-NR sthd	1704	Winchuck River, Mainstem	E Fork Winchuck River	410100	4656571	16	0.012	16	56	88	63
SC-NR sthd	1705	Chetco River, Mainstem	Emily Creek	404727.1	4664186	23	0.022	23	43	83	43
SC-NR sthd	1706	Chetco River, Mainstem	Chetco River	425514.7	4679612	16	0.000		0	100	19
SC-NR sthd	1707	Elk River, Panther Creek	West Fork Panther Creek	393621.1	4727251	20	0.209	22	86	73	5
SC-NR sthd	1709	Pistol River, Mainstem	Pistol River	394977.4	4681536	16	0.000	16	6	100	44
SC-NR sthd	1710	Chetco River, Mainstem	Boulder Creek	416200.9	4679164	40	0.000	40	0	100	20
SC-NR sthd	1711	Mussel Creek	unnamed Trib.	390151.8	4719493	13	0.010		8	15	54
SC-NR sthd		Winchuck River, Mainstem	Wheeler Creek	410433.4	4662112	25	0.000		0	44	56
SC-NR sthd		Pistol River, South Fork	South Fork Pistol River	393585.3		23	0.000		0	96	39
SC-NR sthd	1714	Chetco River, South Fork	South Fork Chetco River	409244.5	4671353	20	0.000		0	100	65
SC-NR sthd	1715	Elk River, Mainstem	Elk River	383000.4	4735596		0.154		71	100	57
SC-NR sthd	1716	Chetco River, Mainstem	Chetco River	424662.7	4669853	24	0.003	24	21	83	8

Monitoring	Site	Basin Name, Subbasin Name	Reach Name	IITM-oast	UTM-north	Den (fish	7.	Occupa	ncy (% of with fi		oer site
Area	Site	Dasiii Naille, Subbasiii Naille	Neach Name	O I WI-east	O I WI-HOITH	N pools	Coho	N pools	Coho	Sthd	Cutt
SC-NR sthd	1717	Pistol River, South Fork	South Fork Pistol River	393654.9	4679746	•	0.000	•	0	94	61
SC-NR sthd	1718	Chetco River, Mainstem	Mislatnah Creek	411075.3	4682047	30	0.000	30	0	100	30
SC-NR sthd	1720	Chetco River, Mainstem	South Fork Chetco River	414774.8	4666380	22	0.000	22	0	100	18
SC-NR sthd	1721	Chetco River, N Fk Chetco River	unnamed Trib	394295.3	4669652	17	0.000	17	0	100	0
SC-NR sthd	1723	Elk River, Mainstem	Bald Mountain Creek	388832.2	4727619	26	0.000	26	0	0	8
SC-NR sthd	1724	Winchuck River, Mainstem	Wheeler Creek	406976.8	4656514	20	0.000	20	0	95	75
SC-NR sthd	1725	Pistol River, North Fork	North Fork Pistol River	395177.2	4686577	19	0.000	19	0	0	0
SC-NR sthd	2215	Pistol River, Mainstem	Pistol River	391748	4680880	23	0.001	23	4	100	30
SC-NR sthd	2216	Chetco River, Mainstem	Chetco River	407062.5	4678425	7	0.000	7	0	100	57
SC-NR sthd	2217	Chetco River, Mainstem	Chetco River	405253.9	4666739	4	0.000	4	0	100	25
SC-NR sthd	2218	Chetco River, Mainstem	Chetco River	409885.5	4680203	5	0.000	5	0	100	100
SC-NR sthd	2219	Chetco River, Mainstem	Chetco River	398959.1	4657928	6	0.000	7	0	57	14